

## **Copyright Notice**

This electronic reprint is provided by the author(s) to be consulted by fellow scientists. It is not to be used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research.

Further reproduction or distribution of this reprint is restricted by copyright laws. If in doubt about fair use of reprints for research purposes, the user should review the copyright notice contained in the original journal from which this electronic reprint was made.



## Are megacities viable? A cautionary tale from Mexico City

Environment - Washington

Author: Ezcurra, Exequiel, Mazari-Hiriart, Marisa

Date: Jan 1996

Start Page: 4

Document Types: Feature

Text Word Count: 9329

### Document Text

Copyright Heldref Publications Jan 1996

Rural populations' "rush to cities" has dramatically reshaped the landscape of Third World countries in the second half of this century. The phenomenon of urban concentration itself is not new, but the growth and development of large cities in the nonindustrialized world present a number of new characteristics that merit careful study. The first and perhaps most noticeable of these is centralism. Urbanization in the developed world was characterized by the growth of a large number of medium-sized cities. In the Third World, urban growth has been concentrated in one or a few very large cities, frequently referred to as "megalopolises." The megalopolis is a twentieth-century phenomenon. It is not yet clear how environmentally sustainable these cities will prove to be.

The world's population in 1995 is estimated as 5.76 billion, and it is increasing by some 100 million annually.(1) By the year 2000, the majority of the world's people will be living in urban areas. But only 4 of the 21 cities whose populations are expected to exceed 10 million inhabitants are located in countries whose per capita gross national product (GNP) exceeds \$10,000 (U.S.) (see Table 1 on page 8). (Tables omitted) Furthermore, there is a significant inverse relationship between GNP and population growth rate in these megalopolises. The large cities of the poorer countries are actually growing much faster than similar cities in the industrialized world. Such unbridled growth puts a heavy economic burden on Third World urban conglomerates. Resource shortages are exacerbated by ever-increasing demands for services that need to be supplied at a rate that often exceeds economic growth. Air and water quality, environmentally related health problems, water, food, and energy supply, and the risk of large-scale regional solid and liquid waste contamination are all important problems faced by the megalopolis. They have yet to be addressed and resolved in a sustainable manner.

In this article, Mexico City, one of the largest megalopolises on Earth, serves as the focus of an examination of the question of environmental sustainability. Mexico City is in one sense an ongoing experiment. Final conclusions about its environmental sustainability and economic feasibility have yet to be drawn. The problems Mexico City faces are similar to those faced by many Third World megalopolises. Thus, its future is in part a clue to theirs. (Three other authors explore how Mexico City's problems are similar to yet different from those of other megacities in a discussion beginning on page 32.)

The urban and demographic growth of the Basin of Mexico (the geographic area encompassing Mexico City) represents one of the main worries for environmentalists. The possible consequences of such an immense population concentration and its asymmetric relationship to the rest of the nation accounts for part of this concern. The ecological consequences of approximately 18 million people occupying the same space are another factor. The foreshadowings for natural resource use are ominous. In the eyes of many, the enormity of such growth foreshadows a great ecological catastrophe that will lead to the compulsory decentralization of the megalopolis. Others see the urban concentration as the logical result of industrial development and twentieth-century technological progress and do not view the megalopolis as a problem in itself. In their minds, technological development will provide the solutions to the environmental and health problems created by such unrestrained urban growth. Both sides of the debate are examined in this article. Clearly, an environmental crisis situation in Mexico City will almost certainly be generated by the exhaustion of the water supply, the degradation of the air, the silting up of the drainage system, and citywide flooding resulting from deforestation.

### The Environmental Setting

Mexico City is located in an originally closed hydrologic basin, which was artificially opened in the early 1600s. This large natural unit, known as the Basin of Mexico, includes the Federal District and parts of the states of Mexico, Hidalgo, Tlaxcala, and Puebla. It covers an area of 7,500 square kilometers (km<sup>2</sup>), and lies within the Central Volcanic Axis, an upland formation of late Tertiary origin. The lowest part, a lacustrine plain, has an average elevation of 2,240 meters above sea level. A succession of elevated volcanic ranges surround the basin on three sides (east, west, and south). To the north, the basin is bounded by a series of low discontinuous ranges. The highest peaks, Popocatepetl and Ixtaccihuatl, with altitudes of 5,465 and 5,230 meters respectively, lie to the southeast.

Before the rise of the Aztec state, the lacustrine system at the bottom of the basin covered approximately 1,500 km<sup>2</sup>. It was formed by five shallow lakes that ran in a north-south chain. Before human transformations, nine major environmental zones existed in the basin: the lake system, an important resting habitat for migratory waterfowl; the saline lakeshore, characterized by halophyllous plants; the deep-soil alluvium, covered by sedges and swamp cypresses; the thin-soil alluvium, dominated by grasses and agaves; the upland alluvium, occupied by oaks and acacias; the lower piedmont, cloaked by low oak forests; the middle piedmont, covered by broadleaf oaks; the upper piedmont, occupying elevations above 2,500 meters and characterized by oaks, alders, and madrones; and the sierras, occupying sites above 2,700 meters and harboring temperate plant communities of pines, fir, and junipers.(2) Little of these original ecosystems now remains. The city has gradually overtaken most of the former lakebeds, which have been progressively drained since colonial times, as well as part of the surrounding piedmonts. To the south and west of the city, the urban area now occupies the slopes of surrounding mountains that were once covered by conifer forests.

### Population and Land Use

The population of Mexico City has long been the subject of debate (see the box on the next page). The last official census recorded the city's population as 15 million in 1990. This statistic seems unrealistic, however, when the growth of the urbanized area during the 1980s and the historic trends in population growth rates are taken into account. The present size of the urbanized area, as estimated by remote sensing techniques, multiplied by the historic population

density (14,500 persons per km<sup>2</sup>), suggests a total population of about 16.8 million in 1990 and 18.5 million in 1995. A projection of 1980 population values at a conservatively low growth rate of 1.5 percent (the growth rate between 1940 and 1980 has always been well above 2 percent) gives a total population of 16 million for 1990 and 17.7 million for 1995. This figure accords with the findings of one demographer who concluded that the national census underestimated the total population of the country by 2 to 6 million people.<sup>(3)</sup> The current total use of water in the city (63 cubic meters per second (m<sup>3</sup>/s)) combined with the historic per capita use (300 liters per day) also suggests a total population of approximately 18.1 million for 1995. Thus, we may assume that the population of greater Mexico City in 1995 was some 18 million people.

Between 1950 and 1980, Mexico City's average annual growth rate was 4.8 percent. The population, however, has grown more quickly in the industrial zone of the state of Mexico, north of the Federal District. There, the average rate of increase between 1950 and 1980 was 13.6 percent, compared with 3.3 percent in the Federal District. The continuous arrival of migrants from economically depressed rural areas accounts for much of the high growth rate.<sup>(4)</sup> Between 1950 and 1980, 5.43 million immigrants arrived in Mexico City. This influx was responsible for 38 percent of the city's growth.<sup>(5)</sup> However, between 1970 and 1980 alone, 3.25 million immigrants made their way to Mexico City.<sup>(6)</sup> Eliminating the effects of immigration, the intrinsic annual growth rate of the city for that decade can be calculated as approximately 1.8 percent, considerably lower than the national average for the same period, which was around 3.0 percent. Immigration, not reproduction, has maintained Mexico City's high rate of population increase.<sup>(7)</sup> Assuming that a population of 18 million in 1995 is correct, the growth rate for the 1980s was around 1.8 percent, markedly lower than the rates for the previous decades. Although the population is still growing, it is clearly experiencing a demographic transition and the growth rates seem to be slowing down (see Figure 1 on this page). (Figures omitted)

Historically, Mexico City's net population densities have been comparatively high (see Table 2 on page 11). While slightly denser than Tokyo or Caracas, Mexico City presently duplicates the densities of New York, Sao Paulo, and Buenos Aires. It has three times the density of Paris and four times that of London. Only some Asian cities like Bombay, Calcutta, and Hong Kong have higher population densities.<sup>(8)</sup>

From 1953 to 1980, the average growth rate of Mexico City's urban area was 5.2 percent. In 1940, urban settlements covered 90 km<sup>2</sup> (0.9 percent of the basin). In 1950, they occupied 240 km<sup>2</sup>; in the 1960s 384 km<sup>2</sup>, in 1980 838 km<sup>2</sup>, and in 1990 1,161 km<sup>2</sup>.<sup>(9)</sup> At present, the metropolis covers more than 12 percent of the basin, making up 16 delegaciones or boroughs in the Federal District and 26 municipalities in the state of Mexico.

The city's growth has had four stages in this century (see Figure 2 on page 12). During the first stage, from 1900 to 1930, the downtown area grew, increasing in both population and commercial activity. During the second stage, between 1930 and 1950, peripheral expansion took place and the city swelled to encompass the delegaciones of the Federal District that surrounded the central area. (Sometime between 1930 and 1940, the first municipality of the state of Mexico became part of the conurbation.) Accelerated growth took place during the third stage, 1950 to 1980, as the city expanded northwards into several municipalities in the state of Mexico and the population soared with access to cheaper land, recently installed communications, and basic services. At the beginning of the fourth stage in 1980, Mexico City was formed by the aggregation of 16 delegaciones in the Federal District and 17 municipalities in the state of Mexico. This ongoing phase involves the merging of several metropolitan areas in the Mexican Highlands: Mexico City, now composed of 16 delegaciones and 26 municipalities; Toluca in the state of Mexico, formed by six municipalities; the larger Puebla metropolitan area, including the cities of Puebla and Tlaxcala and composed of 8 municipalities; and the urban complexes of Cuernavaca-Temixco-Jiutepec and Cuautla-Yautepec, formed by the aggregation of small metropolitan areas in the state of Morelos, south of Mexico City.<sup>(10)</sup> Mexico City developed into a megalopolis in the mid-1980s by associating regionally with Toluca and Cuernavaca. An example of this larger conurbation is Huixquilucan, between Mexico City and Toluca. Through this municipality, both cities are now joined to form an overlapping urban conglomerate.<sup>(11)</sup>

As Mexico City expanded, it did not replicate the old patterns of urbanization. The new developments are more dense, less planned, and generally include less open space. Many developments are now built on hillsides, generating a considerable amount of soil erosion and a significant increase in runoff and flash floods after rainstorms.<sup>(12)</sup> In 1950, the urban area included a large proportion of agro-pastoral fields, together with numerous empty lots, parks, and public spaces. The relative frequency of these open spaces within the city has decreased considerably with the new industrial style of urbanization. Mexico City's open spaces are rapidly disappearing, but at different rates. Agro-pastoral fields, once vital as dairy farms and domestic maize fields, have been disappearing at an average annual rate of 7.4 percent and are now practically nonexistent within the city. Most of these areas are now occupied by industrial buildings and housing developments. Parks, private gardens, and public spaces have been somewhat better conserved, vanishing at an average rate of 1.5 percent. New roads have accounted for most of the loss. Overall, the number of "green" areas has decreased at an annual rate of 3.7 percent.

The total rate of change of green areas varies considerably from one sector of the city to another.<sup>(13)</sup> The area experiencing the most rapid change lies to the east of the city, where the larger working-class settlements lie. There, between 1950 and 1980, nearly 6 percent of the open space disappeared each year. Open spaces are disappearing most slowly in the old center of the city. Rates of green area disappearance are affected by the social position of the inhabitants and when the areas were established. In the poorer and more recently established areas, vacant land quickly becomes lots for new houses, leaving less green area per person than in wealthier neighborhoods. The distribution of green areas, like the distribution of wealth, is currently very uneven. Although some quarters have more than 10 square meters (m<sup>2</sup>) of park land per person, others have much less. Azcapotzalco, an industrial quarter with a population of some 700,000, has at present 0.9 m<sup>2</sup> of green area per inhabitant.<sup>(14)</sup>

#### Water supply

Water management has been a fundamental factor in the establishment and evolution of the different cultures of the basin. In pre-Hispanic times, the Aztecs used water from artesian wells located within the lacustrine zone and were completely self-sufficient in their use of water resources.<sup>(15)</sup> Groundwater extraction began in 1847 and provided enough water to supply the inhabitants of Mexico City until the mid-1960s.<sup>(16)</sup> Since that time, additional water has been pumped from two external watersheds, the Lerma and the Cutzamala River basins. Currently, Mexico City depends on these external sources for one-third of its water supply. As is the case with other natural resources, during this century the basin has gone from a high level of self-sufficiency in water resources to a strong dependence on imports from other parts of Mexico. The best soils are now occupied by houses and much of the surface water is either contaminated or at risk of becoming so. This is obvious in the satellite town of Xochimilco, south of the city, where the practice of chinampa agriculture (irrigated raised fields) is quickly disappearing because of the descending water table and the contamination of the canal waters.

Current water use in Mexico City is 63 m<sup>3</sup>/s.<sup>(17)</sup> Of this volume, 1.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s come from the few surviving surface systems within the basin while 42 m<sup>3</sup>/s are extracted from aquifers. The remainder comes from the Lerma

and the Cutzamala basins (6.0 m sup 3 /s of groundwater from the former and 13.5 m sup 3 /s of surface water from the latter).(18) Thus, of the total amount of water used in Mexico City, 69 percent is obtained from within the basin and 31 percent from external watersheds (see Figure 3 on page 14). This has a considerable impact on the Lerma and the Cutzamala basins, where water is also very scarce. The Lerma Basin feeds Chapala Lake in Jalisco, the largest freshwater body in the nation. Chapala's water levels have been dropping for the last 20 years (the accumulated decrease is approximately 5 meters), and this phenomenon is at least partly attributable to the pumping of water in the Basin of Mexico.

The mean annual input of rainwater into the basin is 744.2 million m sup 3 (23.6 m sup 3 /s). Approximately 50 percent of this infiltrates the subsoil and recharges the aquifers. Some water also makes its way into the aquifers from leaks in the distribution system.(19) Leakage may be as much as 25 percent of the distributional flow in the city, on the order of 16 m sup 3 /s. Thus, the total recharge of the basin's aquifers is some 28 m sup 3 /s or less. Total extraction from the basin's aquifers is 55.5 m sup 3 /s. Of this, 42.0 m sup 3 /s are used by the city; the rest is used for agriculture within the basin.(20) Thus, while recharge replaces roughly 50 percent of the extraction volume, there is a deficit of more than 800 million m sup 3 per year. The average daily supply of water in Mexico City is around 300 liters per person, more than in many European cities. Many parts of the city suffer from chronic water shortages, however. Industry's use of water is very inefficient, and only 7 percent of wastewater is recycled. At least 25 percent of the water supply is lost through deficient pipe systems.

Early in this century, Mexico City started sinking because of ground water overexploitation. In 1954, a ban on new wells in the city area was issued and some existing wells were relocated to the north and south of the basin. Since then, the rate of sinking has stabilized at about 6 centimeters per year (cm/year) in the central area. Nevertheless, along the borders of the urban area, sinking velocities now reach 15 to 40 cm/year. Some areas of downtown Mexico City have sunk nine meters since the beginning of the century.(21)

A number of vulnerable hydrogeological areas have been pinpointed as potential routes for the transport of groundwater contaminants.(22) The transition zones between the hillsides and the clay bottom of the basin are highly permeable, and contaminants released on the surface there could easily migrate downwards toward the aquifers. The lacustrine clays or the fractured basalt, which may be more permeable than has been assumed, are two other potential risk areas for groundwater contamination. In the past, the clay materials were considered an effective barrier to the downward migration of pollutants from sources such as contaminated lakes and leachates from sanitary landfills. The integrity of the clays, however, may have been compromised by excessive pumping of the aquifer coupled with the natural fracturing of the now drier clays, putting the quality of the groundwater at risk.(23)

Bacteriological, physical, and chemical monitoring of the water in Mexico City has shown deterioration in quality that can be attributed to overexploitation of groundwater and extraction of water from geologic formations with high concentrations of certain ions (e.g., iron or manganese). High bacterial counts have been observed in some wells, but these have been attributed to the lack of seals to protect against infiltration of surface runoff along the casing.(24) Water may also become contaminated during distribution by variation in the pressure of the supply lines, which can cause leakage from, or infiltration into, pipelines. Government offices constantly monitor water quality, but the information is not published and is not readily available to the public.(25) Little attention is given to organic compounds in groundwater even though industrial solvents and aromatic hydrocarbons from petroleum products are widely used in industrial areas. In Mexico City, home to almost 50 percent of the country's industries, these types of compounds are generated and disposed of within the city area. No examination of the risks that these new types of contaminants may pose has been undertaken.

#### Wastewater Treatment

The contemporary wastewater system of Mexico City includes several unlined sewer canals, sewers, reservoirs, lagoons, pumping stations, and a deep drainage system.(26) About 75 percent of the population has access to this system; the rest dispose of their sewage through septic tanks and absorption wells.(27) The domestic wastewater that is collected from the sewer system is combined with industrial wastewater and, during the rainy season, with stormwater runoff. Approximately 90 percent of liquid industrial wastes, which add up to approximately 1.5 million tons annually, are discharged untreated into the city's sewer system. Since the sewage system conveys considerable amounts of domestic and industrial waste, the possibility exists that the sewers and unlined canals may release significant amounts of contaminants into the subsurface, and the potential for downward migration is high. Field investigations conducted to assess specific organic contaminant migration beneath some of the canals demonstrated that some organic compounds are indeed migrating downwards toward the aquifer.(28)

A total of 27 wastewater treatment plants treat a portion of the wastewater generated in Mexico City. These plants generally operate at less than 50 percent efficiency and treat approximately 4.3 m sup 3 /s, or about 7 percent of the city's total wastewater.(29) This wastewater flows northward into several reservoirs, whence some is used for irrigation in an area of about 58,000 hectares in the state of Hidalgo.(30) Wastewater is also used to generate electricity at the Zimapan Dam in the Tula Basin, which has an installed capacity of 280 megawatts (MW).(31) Ultimately, the wastewater makes its way to the Gulf of Mexico through the Tula-Moctezuma-Panuco river system.

#### Air quality

The high levels of atmospheric pollution that have existed in Mexico City for more than 20 years are another serious problem associated with the city's uncontrolled growth, and Mexico City's problem highlights some of those of other megacities.(32) The situation becomes particularly critical during the cold season (December to February) when low temperatures stabilize the atmosphere above the basin and air pollutants accumulate in the stationary mass of cold air.(33) Studies of lead and bromine in the air have shown quantitatively that most of the air contamination comes from automobile exhaust.(34) During the 1980s, the number of cars in the city increased at an annual rate above 5 percent. (In 1979, there were some two million cars; by 1994, the number had more than doubled.) Suspended particles were the worst pollutant in the early 1980s, and in some parts of the city their concentration exceeded the Mexican and international air-quality standards more than half of the time.(35) Between 1991 and 1994, ozone was the most significant air contaminant, and its concentration exceeded the air-quality standards more than 90 percent of the time (345 days above the admissible threshold of 220 micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )) were reported for 1995). The problem became so alarming that in the early 1990s the newly created National Commission on Human Rights asked a working group to compile a detailed report on the subject. That report remains one of the most comprehensive analyses of the problem available.(36)

Until 1986, lead was probably the most harmful pollutant in the atmosphere.(37) Previously, only leaded gasoline was sold in Mexico City and the concentration of lead in the air increased steadily with the number of cars, reaching values of 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  in 1968 and around 8  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  in 1986 (5 times the Mexican standard of 1.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ).(38) Among its many deleterious effects, high concentrations of lead in the blood retard the intellectual development of children and, in general, alter human neural development.(39) The lead problem became so severe in September 1986

that the national oil company PEMEX substituted low lead-content fuel (in which synthetic oxidizing additives partly replaced leaded compounds) for the gasoline it was selling. Independent reports show that as a result of this effort lead levels did decrease dramatically. It is estimated that atmospheric emissions of lead decreased from approximately 2,000 tons/year in 1986 to around 150 tons/year in 1994. As a result, a sustained decrease in the proportion of schoolchildren with high levels of lead in their blood has been observed.

Unfortunately, however, the new gasoline had unexpected and harmful side effects. While the atmospheric concentration of lead did indeed fall, photochemical smog increased (see Figure 4 on this page). Because of a reaction between ultraviolet radiation from the sun, atmospheric oxygen, and combustion residues from the unleaded or low-lead gasolines, ozone concentrations in the city quickly rose.<sup>(40)</sup> At present, the mean ozone concentration is around 0.15 parts per million (ppm), 10 times the natural atmospheric concentration and almost twice the maximum permitted in the United States and Japan.<sup>(41)</sup> This level is high enough to damage most urban vegetation.<sup>(42)</sup>

Ozone is formed through a complex chain of reactions involving solar radiation, reactive organic compounds (e.g., partially combusted hydrocarbons), and inorganic compounds like nitrogen oxide.<sup>(43)</sup> The chain reaction occurs gradually, however, not instantaneously. Consequently, the highest ozone concentrations occur around noon on sunny days. Because the prevailing winds blow from the northeast, ozone contamination mostly affects the residential southwestern section of the city. The more industrialized areas to the north and the central areas of dense vehicular traffic are not so dramatically affected. During 1994, ozone levels in the southwestern section exceeded the maximum allowable standard (0.11 ppm or 220  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) on 345 days and generated continuous health complaints from the population.<sup>(44)</sup> On 95 days, ozone concentrations were above 0.24 ppm (480  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ), a level that is universally considered hazardous for humans and plants. In the early 1990s, catalytic converters became mandatory on new cars sold in Mexico. It was hoped that the converters would lower emissions of the reactive organic compounds that are by-products of the combustion of unleaded gasoline. Ozone concentrations, however, have persistently remained high. Slow renovation of existing vehicles and poor maintenance of the converters themselves may be two factors contributing to the slow response to the new automobile standards. A 5 percent annual growth in the number of vehicles has also played a negative role.

A study conducted by several pathologists evaluated histopathologic changes in the nasal mucosa of the inhabitants of the southwestern section of the city.<sup>(45)</sup> In a carefully designed experiment, they compared the nasal mucosa from three groups of health workers and navy employees. Group 1 was made up of long-term residents of the port of Veracruz (a low-ozone environment), Group 2 of people originally from nonpolluted locations who had lived in the city for less than 31 days, and Group 3 of people who had lived in southwestern Mexico City for more than two months. (The mean residence time of this group was approximately 10 years.) Ninety-eight percent of all patients from Group 3 exhibited basal cell hyperplasias (excessive multiplication of normal cells in an organ or tissue) compared with only 5 percent of Group 1. Furthermore, the hyperplasias of Group 1 patients only formed small patches occupying less than 25 percent of the biopsy surface. In Group 3 patients, by contrast, they covered more than 50 percent of the sampled tissue in nearly half of the patients evaluated. While none of those in Group 1 showed additional effects, Group 3 patients showed varying degrees of squamous cell metaplasia (55 percent of the patients), keratinization (23 percent), epithelial dysplasias (81 percent), vascular submucosal proliferation (100 percent), and submucosal chronic inflammation (98 percent). All the patients in Group 2 showed intermediate degrees of incidence with respect to the other groups. Although the authors suggest that high ozone levels could be the main cause of these histopathologic changes, they do not discard the hypothesis "that other potential environmental carcinogens are most likely to be involved" in the extremely high incidences of respiratory tract tissue anomalies found in Mexico City inhabitants.

Other pollutants also impact considerably on Mexico City's atmosphere, but their spatial distribution is quite different from that of ozone. Suspended particles and sulfur dioxide are found in their greatest concentrations in the industrial area to the north and northeast of the city.<sup>(46)</sup> In the central part of the city where vehicular traffic is more intense, carbon monoxide concentrations are much higher. A recent study demonstrated that the concentration of carbon monoxide in central Mexico City ranges between 34 and 132  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , well above official standards and sufficiently high to affect humans physiologically in less than one hour.<sup>(47)</sup>

Atmospheric contamination also influences the quality of rainwater. From 1983 to 1986, the acidity of rainwater in Mexico City increased significantly because of increasing concentrations of sulfur and nitrogen oxides in the air.<sup>(48)</sup> In the urban parts of the basin, the average pH of rainwater is around 5.5. In a few cases, however, values as low as 3.0 have been recorded. The effects of air pollution are not restricted to the urban areas, however. Air pollution has had a considerable impact on the natural ecosystems surrounding Mexico City. Phytopathologists have discovered, for example, that ozone produced in the city and carried by wind to the Sierra del Ajusco southwest of the basin, has significantly reduced the chlorophyll content and the growth of the dominant pine species in the high mountains around the basin.<sup>(49)</sup> These forests collect water for the city. At present, there is a striking level of forest dieback in the mountains surrounding the basin. Scolytid bark-beetles that attack the conifers are the immediate cause, but many foresters associate the new and increased aggressiveness of this pest (which in the past never proved capable of producing widespread tree mortality) on the stressful environmental conditions generated by high ozone levels, coupled with the effects of acid rain. Clearly, atmospheric pollution may have had a considerable impact on the already disrupted water balance, especially on the hillsides of the basin, and thus on the long-term availability and quality of potable water.

#### Centralism and Ecological Subsidies

The rapid rise and enormous power of the Aztec state were based on their political control of much of Mesoamerica and on the subordination of hundreds of different groups that paid tribute to the emperor. Aztec wealth depended to a great extent on the concentration of high-quality goods (e.g., metals, obsidian, tropical fruits, high protein food) and labor collected as tribute from such groups. The Basin of Mexico, where Aztec culture first emerged, became a subsidized ecosystem, receiving inputs of natural resources and energy from other areas.

This tradition, maintained under Spanish rule, has now reached immense proportions. Few ecosystems in the world are so far from being self-sufficient as the Basin of Mexico.<sup>(50)</sup> With much of the forests cut, most of the chinampa lands turned into urban developments, and practically all of the lakes dried up, the supply of raw materials and energy generated within the basin is insufficient for even a small fraction of its 18 million residents. Consequently, vast amounts of food, energy, wood, water, building materials, and many other products are imported from other ecosystems to augment the energy and material flows. With 20 percent of the nation's population, the basin consumes approximately one-third of the country's oil and electricity.

In spite of the severe environmental problems, the Mexican model of development has given priority to improving the quality of life in the large cities (where social demand is more concentrated) rather than in the rural areas (which have become comparatively poorer). From 1950 to 1980, the basin experienced marked improvement in demographic and domestic indicators of the quality of life. However, at the national level, these same indicators have reflected slower change. This difference in trends is more marked if the developments in the basin are compared to those in the

depressed rural areas where most of the immigrants come from. Despite the health problems generated by contamination, indicators such as life expectancy at birth and infant mortality are better for Mexico City than for the rest of the country.(51) Although mortality rates have declined significantly between 1950 and 1990, there has been a marked shift in the principal causes of death (see Table 3 on this page). In the first half of the century, infectious diseases were the most common cause of death. Now diseases associated with modern industrial life and environmental contamination, such as heart disease and cancer along with pneumonia and gastroenteritis, two infectious diseases also associated with the degradation of air and water, rank in the top five.

Through the system of ecological subsidies, many of the problems generated by the growth (or the sheer size) of Mexico City are in effect exported to neighboring areas. Chronic water shortages, for example, are in great part transferred to the Lerma and Cutzamala basins, from which water is imported. Wastewater, on the other hand, is drained into the Tula Basin in the state of Hidalgo, where it flows until reaching the Gulf of Mexico. In this way, contamination from untreated wastewater spreads into other geographical regions. In the Tula Basin, Mexico City's wastewater is used to irrigate a variety of crops, including vegetable plots. This practice has contributed to the spread of parasitic diseases like amoebiasis and cysticercosis. It also has polluted good agricultural soils. In one year, as much as 2,300 kilograms of detergents or 750 kilograms of boron find their way into the soil.(52) Although the use of wastewater to irrigate vegetable crops has been legally banned in the region, farmers have no ready alternatives and continue to use the contaminated water.

Because of the Mexican highlands' rugged topography, the energy cost of supplying water to Mexico City from external sources and draining wastewater outside the basin is enormous. Although there are no official figures, estimates can be easily calculated. Elevating one cubic meter of water to an altitude of 10 meters demands a fixed energy input of 98 kilojoules plus the extra energy demanded by the mechanical efficiency of the system. From this it can be calculated that to move the 43.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s of water obtained from within the basin, the 19.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s drawn from external watersheds, and the wastewater in the deep drainage system, an average of approximately 370 MW is needed.(53) This represents a daily cost of almost \$900,000 to supply water and adds 20 cents to the cost of each cubic meter of water.

Apart from the ecological interpretation of these subsidies, the urban concentration of Mexico City has also involved the concentration of wealth and an implicit economic subsidy from the rest of the nation to the capital's residents. Public transportation in Mexico City now costs approximately 7 cents per trip, regardless of the distance traveled. The subway, used by approximately 4 million passengers per day, thus generates a revenue of \$280,000 per day. In 1986, the real cost of operating the system was on the order of \$1.5 million per day; now it is probably more than \$2 million.(54) The difference is ultimately met by all taxpayers, many of whom do not benefit directly from the service.

It costs around 30 cents per cubic meter to distribute water in Mexico City. This price reflects the high cost of pumping water into the city from the Lerma Basin.(55) The government spends approximately \$450 million annually to supply water to Mexico City. The revenue obtained from the service is on the order of \$42 million, less than 10 percent of the total cost. Other services, such as electricity, gas, garbage collection, and road maintenance are subsidized for the whole country--not only for the Basin of Mexico. However, because the city receives these services in a higher proportion than the rest of the nation, it receives a higher share of the subsidy. This asymmetry is, again, particularly true for rural areas that export their produce to the city but do not benefit from the cheap urban services.

Air pollution also has a large hidden cost. In a recent study, a researcher quantified the health effects of pollutants in Mexico City by means of standard dose-response curves and calculated the economic costs of contamination by integrating the average individual cost associated with each pollutant (in terms of treatment costs, lost wages, or premature death) for the city's whole population (estimated as 17 million in 1992).(56) This study estimated the total annual cost of particulate matter contamination as \$850 million, the cost of ozone as \$102 million, and the cost of atmospheric lead as \$125 million. Thus, the aggregated "hidden" cost of atmospheric pollution, as estimated by the known effects of contamination on human health, amounts to approximately \$1.1 billion per year. (While the impact of lead seems to have decreased since 1992, it is likely that the health effects related to ozone have increased.)

The ultimate monetary costs of the effects of air pollution on the basin's forests have not been calculated but in all likelihood they will be high. Trees play vital roles in controlling erosion, conserving biological diversity, regulating the water cycle, and recharging the aquifers. Because of the groundwater imbalance in the basin, no one has calculated in detail either the future costs of overexploiting the aquifers or the future value of the recharging that is being prevented by deforestation. This deficit calls into question the mid-term sustainability of the basin and acts to limit future development.

Even though water treatment and reuse have not been main components of Mexico City's water management, there have been governmental efforts to improve wastewater discharges since 1956, when the first wastewater treatment plant was installed. The two most common treatment systems are stabilization ponds and activated sludge. In 1994, installing a wastewater treatment plant based on the activated sludge system, with a capacity of 1 m<sup>3</sup>/s, cost between \$20 million and \$30 million. In 1994, the cost of treating water, including the cost of operation and recovery of the investment, was about 20 cents per cubic meter.(57) If the 27 wastewater treatment plants in Mexico City operated at 100-percent efficiency instead of the current 50 percent, they could handle approximately 8.6 m<sup>3</sup>/s of wastewater at an annual cost of \$55 million.(58) Until recently, the government subsidized wastewater treatment. New legislation is now being implemented that transfers rights and obligations to the users of national water resources and makes the private sector responsible for discharging wastewater of acceptable quality.(59)

#### Sustainability Problems and Governmental Response

Judging from the state of both air and water resources in the Basin of Mexico, and from the immense economic and natural resource subsidies that the whole country has to provide to maintain this area, one can conclude that the megalopolis of Mexico City is highly unsustainable in its present condition. If groundwater use, which is double the current recharge, is projected into the future, the basin will experience large-scale water shortages sometime in the next 30 years. These shortages would be worsened by future population increases and continued urban growth. Water-use conflicts with neighboring watersheds are already important issues. If more water is drawn from external sources, it is likely that these conflicts could become more severe. Water quality is already below drinking standards in some areas, and the increasing amounts of both wastewater and contamination do not presage any short-term improvement. Degrading water quality will be a major health concern in the next decades. In spite of efforts to reduce exhaust emissions, the rapid growth in the number of cars (almost 100 percent per decade) also calls into question the capacity to improve the basin's atmospheric quality. Furthermore, some 48 percent of all Mexican industries are located in the basin. This fact suggests that there will be increasing demands on natural resources, air, and water as well as growing amounts of liquid and solid waste for which there are no adequate treatment and disposal systems.

Mexico City's problems are so important that they have gotten increasing attention from policymakers. In 1972, a Subsecretariat of Environmental Protection was created within the federal government's Secretariat of Health. In 1982, the Secretariat of Urban Development and Ecology was created to deal with matters linked to urban environmental quality. This new secretariat was also assigned some responsibilities for the protection of natural resources. In 1992, a

sewer system in Guadalajara exploded because of spillage of fuel waste products into the pipelines. As a result, hundreds of people died. In the aftermath, the federal environmental administration was divided into two new offices: a National Institute of Ecology, authorized to draft environmental regulations, administer environmental protection efforts, and coordinate natural resource management; and an Environmental Attorney General, created to oversee enforcement of environmental legislation.

As a result of citizen protests about the basin's deteriorating air quality, the Department of the Federal District, which administers a large part of Mexico City, created a Metropolitan Commission for the Protection of Air Quality in 1992. The government of the state of Mexico and federal authorities participated in this move. Water management in the basin is coordinated by a complex set of state and federal government organizations, including the Department of the Federal District and the Commission for Water and Sanitation of the state of Mexico (at the state level) and the National Water Commission and the Secretariat of Health (at the federal level).

In December 1994, a new secretariat was created to encompass all federal environmental functions, including those dealing with "brown ecology" (environmental pollution) and "green ecology" (natural resource management). Functions that were previously dispersed among various federal agencies were centralized under the Secretariat of Environment, Natural Resources and Fisheries. Their mandate covered the protection and management of natural resources; waste management and pollution control; the management of national parks and other protected natural areas; and environmental law enforcement. Decentralized governmental agencies like the National Institute of Ecology, the Environmental Attorney General, and the National Water Commission all came under the jurisdiction of this powerful federal secretariat. The ever increasing complexity and size of environmental authorities highlights the growing concerns about environmental quality and natural resource degradation in Mexico in general and in the basin in particular.

#### Insights for the Future

Although most of the environmental problems in the Basin of Mexico have reached critical proportions in the late 20th century, industrial development is not solely to blame. Urban and political centralism have been a tradition in Mexican society since the Aztec empire. The Basin of Mexico, for nearly two millennia one of the most densely populated areas of the world, has historically used its preeminent administrative and political position to obtain advantages over other areas of the nation. Modern industrialization, however, has exaggerated this trend to dramatic proportions, and is indeed responsible for the disproportionate urbanization and the biased distribution of population and wealth. Although population growth in the basin is clearly decelerating, natural resource use is already highly unsustainable with the current population density. Fossil fuel consumption, the number of cars, deforestation, and the pumping of groundwater from a critically depleted aquifer are all increasing at a rate that often exceeds that of population growth.

In the past, resource exhaustion through improper land use has produced large declines in population, showing that there are limits to population growth in a closed basin with a given technological level. Air pollution, water shortages, the urban area's unbridled growth, and the ever-increasing economic and natural resource costs of maintaining the megalopolis suggest that a similar process of population limitation or even decline may occur in the future. In Mexico City, the use of air, water, and soils as a commons is clearly unsustainable, and the city's residents may soon confront hard and painful decisions. In our opinion, it is clear that in the future the subsidies will have to be eliminated and that the cost of living and the quality of life in the city will worsen. Government authorities have made several attempts over the past six years to set the price of water closer to its real cost, but popular protests have aborted these initiatives. However, the capacity to subsidize water use is becoming more and more constrained and will soon reach a limit. Health problems typical of developed societies (like heart disease and malignant tumors) coexist with problems related to air and water pollution (such as pneumonia and enteritis) that are more typical of the developing world. Although there is no data on this problem, Mexico City's decreasing growth rates suggest that for some sectors of the population emigrating outside the basin into medium-sized cities is already an advantageous alternative.

Growing conflicts over water use, air pollution, waste disposal, environmentally related health problems, and natural resource depletion are all problems shared by most Third World megalopolises. Mexico City is thus a laboratory where many of the processes that drive population, natural resource, and land-use changes in the less-developed nations are being tested. It provides both fascinating and terrible insights into what the future may hold for many of the megalopolises of Latin America and the Third World.

#### NOTES

1. World Resources Institute, *World Resources 1994-95* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1994), 400.
2. W. T. Sanders, J. R. Parsons, and R. S. Stanley, *The Basin of Mexico: Ecological Processes in the Evolution of a Civilization* (New York: Academic Press, 1979), 561; and W. T. Sanders, "The Agricultural History of the Basin of Mexico," in E. R. Wolf, ed., *The Valley of Mexico: Studies in Prehispanic Ecology and Society* (Albuquerque, N. Mex.: University of New Mexico Press, 1976), 101-59.
3. V. R. Corona, "Confiabilidad de los resultados preliminares del XI Censo General de Poblacion y Vivienda de 1990," *Estudios Demograficos y Urbanos* 6, no. 1 (1991): 33-68.
4. L. Unikel, *La dinamica del crecimiento de la Ciudad de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: SEP-Setentas, 1974), 237; C. Stern, "Cambios en los volúmenes de migrantes provenientes de distintas zonas geoeconomicas," in H. Munoz, O. de Oliveira, and C. Stern, eds., *Migracion y desigualdad social en la ciudad de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: Instituto de Investigaciones Sociales, UNAM-El Colegio de Mexico, 1977), 115-28; and A. M. Goldani, "Impacto de los inmigrantes sobre la estructura y el crecimiento del area metropolitana," in *ibid.*, pages 129-37.
5. V. Partida, "El proceso de migracion a la ciudad de Mexico," in G. Garza, ed., *Atlas de la Ciudad de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: Departamento del Distrito Federal-El Colegio de Mexico, 1987) 134-39.
6. E. Calderon and B. Hernandez, "Crecimiento actual de la poblacion de Mexico," *Ciencia y Desarrollo*, no. 76 (1987): 49-66.
7. A. M. Goldani, note 4 above.
8. P. M. Ward, *Mexico: una megaciudad. Produccion y reproduccion de un medio ambiente urbano* (Mexico, D.F.: Editorial Alianza, 1991), 327.
9. Instituto de Geografia, "Sistema urbano, crecimiento espacial de las principales ciudades," *Atlas Nacional de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F., 1989); and E. Ezcurra, *De las chinampas a la megalopolis: El medio ambiente en la Cuenca de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: Fondo de Cultura Economica, 1990), 120.
10. M. E. Negrete and H. Salazar, "Zonas metropolitanas en Mexico, 1980," *Estudios Demograficos y Urbanos* 1, no. 1

- (1986): 97-124; and G. Garza and A. Damian, "Ciudad de Mexico. Etapas de crecimiento, infraestructura y equipamiento," in M. Scheingart, ed., *Espacio y Vivienda en la Ciudad de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: El Colegio de Mexico-Asamblea de Representantes del Distrito Federal, 1991), 21-49.
11. G. Garza and M. Scheingart, "Ciudad de Mexico: Dinamica industrial y estructuracion del espacio en una metropoli semiperiferica," *Demografia y Economia* 4, no. 60 (1984): 581-604; and C. Brambilia, "Ciudad de Mexico: (character omitted) La urbe mas grande del mundo?," in Garza, note 5 above, pages 146-49.
12. G. Galindo and J. Morales, "El relieve y los asentamientos humanos en la Ciudad de Mexico," *Ciencia y Desarrollo*, no. 76 (1987): 67-80.
13. M. Lavin, "Cambios en las areas verdes de la zona metropolitana de la Ciudad de Mexico de 1940 a 1980" (Internal report, Instituto de Ecologia, Mexico, D.F., 1983), 47.
14. M. T. Calvillo-Ortega, "Areas verdes de La Ciudad de Mexico," *Anuario de Geografia, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Facultad Filosofia y Letras*, no. 16 (1978): 377-82; and V. Barradas and R. J. Seres, "Los pulmones urbanos," *Ciencia y Desarrollo*, no. 78 (1988): 61-72.
15. M. Mazari and J. Alberro, "Hundimiento de la Ciudad de Mexico," in J. Kumate and M. Mazari, eds., *Problemas de la Cuenca de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: El Colegio Nacional, 1990), 83-114.
16. C. Ramirez, "El agua en la Cuenca de Mexico," in *ibid.*, pages 61-80.
17. Gerencia de aguas del Valle de Mexico, *Informe interno: Uso del agua* (Mexico, D.F., 1995).
18. Departamento del Distrito Federal, *Agua 2000. Estrategia para la Ciudad de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F., 1991), 35; see also Gerencia de aguas del Valle de Mexico, note 17 above.
19. D. N. Lerner, "Leaking Pipes Recharge Ground Water," *Ground Water* 24, no. 5 (1986): 654-62.
20. Departamento del Distrito Federal, note 18 above; and Gerencia de aguas del Valle de Mexico, note 17 above.
21. M. Mazari, H. M. Mazari, C. Ramirez, and J. Alberro, "Efectos de la extraccion de agua en la zona lacustre de la Cuenca de Mexico," in *Volumen Raul J. Marsal* (Mexico, D.F.: Sociedad Mexicana de Mecanica de Suelos, A.C., 1992), 37-48.
22. H. M. Mazari and D. M. Mackay, "Potential for Groundwater Contamination in Mexico City," *Environmental Science & Technology* 27, no. 5 (1993): 794-802 and Consejo Nacional de Investigacion, *El Agua y la Ciudad de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: Academia de la Investigacion Cientifica A.C., Academia Nacional de Ingenieria, A.C., Academia Nacional de Medicina, A.C. and U.S. National Research Council, 1995), 353.
23. H. M. Mazari and D. M. Mackay, note 22 above.
24. G. Guerrero, A. Moreno, and H. Garduno, eds., *El sistema hidraulico del Distrito Federal* (Mexico, D.F.: Departamento del Distrito Federal, 1982).
25. *Ibid.*; and Departamento del Distrito Federal, *Actividades geohidrologicas en el Valle de Mexico*, Contrato 7-33-1-0403 (Mexico, D.F., 1985).
26. Departamento del Distrito Federal, *Memoria de las obras del sistema de drenaje profundo del Distrito Federal*, DDF 1975, 1982, 1988, 4 vols. (Mexico, D.F., 1975); Departamento del Distrito Federal, *El sistema de drenaje profundo de la Ciudad de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F., 1988); and Guerrero, Moreno, and Garduno, note 24 above.
27. S. Moreno Mejia, "Sistema hidraulico del Distrito Federal," in Garza, note 5 above, pages 183-86.
28. Departamento del Distrito Federal, "Inventario y politicas de gestion de desechos industriales en la Ciudad de Mexico" (Unpublished report, Mexico, D.F., 1992); and Mazari and Mackay, note 22 above.
29. Consejo Nacional de Investigacion, note 22 above.
30. *Ibid.*
31. A. Palacio et al., "Evolucion de la Calidad del agua del embalse del proyecto hidroelectrico Zimapan, Hidalgo," vol. 2 (Mexico, D.F.: Comision Federal de Electricidad, 1994).
32. Secretaria de Asentamientos Humanos y Obras Publicas, *Diagnostico de la calidad atmosferica del Valle de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: Subsecretaria de Asentamientos Humanos, 1978), 85; Subsecretaria de Mejoramiento del Ambiente, *Fuentes emisoras en Mexico. Industrias altamente contaminantes* (Mexico, D.F.: Secretaria de Salubridad y Asistencia, 1978), 5; and Subsecretaria de Mejoramiento del Ambiente, *Situacion actual de la contaminacion atmosferica en el area metropolitana de la Ciudad de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: Secretaria de Salubridad y Asistencia, 1978), 62. For additional information on air pollution in the world's megacities, including Mexico City, see "Air Pollution in the World's Megacities," *Environment* 36, no. 2 (1994).
33. Secretaria de Desarrollo Urbano y Ecologia, *Informe sobre el estado del medio ambiente en Mexico* (Mexico, D.F., 1986), 83; and A. Velasco Levy, "La contaminacion atmosferica en la Ciudad de Mexico," *Ciencia y Desarrollo*, no. 52 (1983): 59-68.
34. K. M. Barfoot et al., "Multi-elemental Measurements of Air Particulate Pollution at a Site in Mexico City," *Atmospheric Environment* 18, no. 2 (1984): 451-67; and E. Sigler Andrade, V. Fuentes Gea, and C. Vargas Aburto, "Analisis de la contaminacion del aire por particulas en Ciudad Universitaria," in *Memorias del III Congreso Nacional de Ingenieria Sanitaria y Ambiental*, vol. 2 (Mexico, D.F., 1982), 1-13.
35. V. Fuentes Gea and A. A. C. Hernandez, "Evaluacion preliminar de la contaminacion del aire por particulas en la area metropolitana del Valle de Mexico," in *Memorias del IV Congreso Nacional de Ingenieria Sanitaria y Ambiental* (Mexico, D.F., 1984), 523-26.
36. I. Restrepo, ed., *La contaminacion del aire en Mexico: Sus causas y efectos en la salud* (Mexico, D.F.: Comision Nacional de Derechos Humanos, 1992), 205-50.
37. S. Salazar, J. L. Bravo, and Y. Falcon, "Sobre la presencia de algunos metales pesados en la atmosfera de la

Ciudad de Mexico," *Geofisica Internacional* 20 (1981): 41-54.

38. G. Halffter and E. Ezcurra, "Diseno de una politica ecologica para el Valle de Mexico," *Ciencia y Desarrollo*, no. 53 (1983): 89-96.

39. L. A. Albert and F. Badillo, "Environmental Lead in Mexico," *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 117 (1991): 1-49.

40. H. Bravo, G. Roy-Ocotla, P. Sanchez, and R. Torres, "La contaminacion atmosferica por ozono en la zona metropolitana de la Ciudad de Mexico," in Restrepo, note 36 above, pages 173-84.

41. A. Avediz Aznavourian, "Normas de calidad del aire en Mexico," *Proceedings y reunion regional sobre legislacion ambiental* (Monterrey: Secretaria de Desarrollo Urbano y Ecologia, 1984), 101-20.

42. L. Skaerby and G. Sellden, "The Effects of Ozone on Crops and Forests," *Ambio* 13, no. 2 (1984): 68-72.

43. Bravo, Roy-Ocotla, Sanchez, and Torres, note 40 above.

44. Instituto Nacional de Ecologia, *Elementos de politica ambiental para una ciudad sustentable: Manejo de la cuenca atmosferica* (Mexico, D.F., May 1995), 13.

45. L. Calderon-Garciduenas, A. Osorno Velazquez, H. Bravo-Alvarez, R. Delgado-Chavez, and R. Barrios-Marquez, "Histopathologic Changes of the Nasal Mucosa in Southwest Metropolitan Mexico City Inhabitants," *American Journal of Pathology* 140, no. 1 (1992a): 225-32; L. Calderon-Garciduenas, A. Hernandez-Martinez, H. Bravo, and H. Lopez, "Nasal Cytology in Southwest Metropolitan Mexico City Inhabitants," presented at 85th Annual Meeting & Exhibition of the Air & Waste Management Association, Kansas City, Missouri (1992b), 1-8.

46. E. Jauregui, "Algunos efectos antropogenicos sobre el clima de las grandes ciudades," in *Atlas de Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: Instituto de Geografia, 1990), map no. V.2.5.

47. A. Fernandez-Bremauntz, "Commuters' Exposure to Carbon Monoxide in the Metropolitan Area of Mexico City" (Centre for Environmental Technology, Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, London, U.K., 1993); and C. Santos-Burgoa and L. Rojas Bracho, "Los efectos de la contaminacion atmosferica," in Restrepo, note 36 above, pages 205-50.

48. V. H. Paramo, M. A. Guerrero, M. A. Morales, R. E. Morales, and D. Baz Contreras, "Acidez de las precipitaciones en el Distrito Federal," *Ciencia y Desarrollo*, no. 72 (1987): 59-66; and H. Bravo, *La contaminacion del aire en Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: Fundacion Universo Veintiuno, 1987), 296.

49. L. I. de Bauer, T. Hernandez Tejeda, and W. J. Manning, "Ozone Causes Needle Injury and Tree Decline in *Pinus hartwegii* at High Altitudes in the Mountains Around Mexico City," *Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association* 35, no. 8 (1985): 838; T. Hernandez Tejeda and L. I. de Bauer, "Photochemical Oxidant Damage on *Pinus hartwegii* at the Desierto de los Leones, Mexico, D.F.," *Phytopathology* 76, no. 3 (1996): 377; T. Hernandez Tejeda, L. I. de Bauer, and S. V. Krupa, "Danos por gases oxidantes en pinos del Ajusco," in *Memoria de los simposios nacionales de parasitologia forestal II y III*, Secretaria de Agricultura y Recursos Hidraulicos, Special publication no. 46 (1985): 26-36; and T. Hernandez Tejeda, L. I. de Bauer, and M. L. Ortega Delgado, "Determinacion de la clorofila total de hojas de *Pinus hartwegii* afectadas por gases oxidantes," *ibid.*, pages 334-41.

50. E. Ezcurra and J. Sarukhan, "Costos ecologicos del crecimiento y del mantenimiento de la Ciudad de Mexico," in Kumate and Mazari, note 15 above, pages 215-46; and Mazari and Mackay, note 22 above.

51. Ezcurra, note 9 above.

52. V. Ibarra, F. Saavedra, S. Puente, and M. Schteingart, "La ciudad y el medio ambiente: El caso de la zona metropolitana de la ciudad de Mexico," in V. Ibarra, S. Puente, and F. Saavedra, compilers, *La ciudad y el medio ambiente en America Latina: Seis estudios de caso* (Mexico, D.F.: El Colegio de Mexico, 1986), 97-150.

53. The following calculation is applied:  $1 \text{ MW} = 10 \text{ sup } 6 \text{ watt. Watt/h} \times 3600 = \text{kilojoule. W/h (3600)} = \text{kJ}$ .

54. C. Bazdresch, "Los subsidios y la concentracion en la ciudad de Mexico," in B. Torres, ed., *Decentralizacion y democracia en Mexico* (Mexico, D.F.: El Colegio de Mexico, 1986), 205-18.

55. *Ibid.*

56. S. Margulis, "Back-of-the-Envelope Estimates of Environmental Damage Costs in Mexico," *Policy Research Working Papers* (Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, 1992), 31.

57. Details of the calculation of water treatment are given in Instituto de Ingenieria, *Plantas de tratamiento de aguas residuales* (Mexico, D.F.: UNAM, 1993), 52.

58. A detailed description of the installed capacity of water treatment plants in the Basin of Mexico is given in Consejo Nacional de Investigacion, note 22 above.

59. Comision Nacional del Agua, *Ley de aguas nacionales y su reglamento* (Mexico, D.F., 1994), 174; *Diario Oficial de la Federacion* 1993, 1995, *Normas oficiales mexicanas que establecen los limites maximos permisibles de contaminantes en las descargas de diversas industrias, NOM-CCA-001 to 031* (October 1993), 2-119; and *Diario Oficial de la Federacion, Normas oficiales mexicanas que establecen los limites maximos permisibles de contaminantes en las descargas de diversas industrias, NOM-063-ECOL-1994* (January 1995), 4-20, 3-18, 6-17, 2-13.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction or distribution is prohibited without permission.

#### **Abstract** (Document Summary)

Natural resource exhaustion, spiraling population growth and a proliferation of environmentally related health disorders call into question the future of the world's urban centers. The viability of megacities is examined, using Mexico City as a case study.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction or distribution is prohibited without permission.