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Rural populations' "rush to cities" has dramatically reshaped the landscape of Third World countries in the second half of this century. The phenomenon of urban concentration itself is not new, but the growth and development of large cities in the nonindustrialized world present a number of new characteristics that merit careful study. The first and perhaps most noticeable of these is centralism. Urbanization in the developed world was characterized by the growth of a large number of medium-sized cities. In the Third World, urban growth has been concentrated in one or a few very large cities, frequently referred to as "megalopolises." The megalopolis is a twentieth-century phenomenon. It is not yet clear how environmentally sustainable these cities will prove to be.

The world's population in 1995 is estimated at 5.76 billion, and it is increasing by some 100 million annually. (1) By the year 2000, the majority of the world's people will be living in urban areas. But only 4 of the 21 cities whose populations are expected to exceed 10 million inhabitants are located in countries whose per capita gross national product (GNP) exceeds $10,000 (U.S.) (see Table 1 on page 8). (Tables omitted) Furthermore, there is a significant inverse relationship between GNP and population growth rate in these megalopolises. The large cities of the poorer countries are actually growing much faster than similar cities in the industrialized world. Such unbridled growth puts a heavy economic burden on Third World urban conglomerates. Resource shortages are exacerbated by ever-increasing demands for services that need to be supplied at a rate that often exceeds economic growth. Air and water quality, environmentally related health problems, water, food, and energy supply, and the risk of large-scale regional solid and liquid waste contamination are all important problems faced by the megalopolis. They have yet to be addressed and resolved in a sustainable manner.

In this article, Mexico City, one of the largest megalopolises on Earth, serves as the focus of an examination of the question of environmental sustainability. Mexico City is in one sense an ongoing experiment. Final conclusions about its environmental sustainability and economic feasibility have yet to be drawn. The problems Mexico City faces are similar to those faced by many Third World megalopolises. Thus, its future is in part a clue to theirs. (Three other authors explore how Mexico City's problems are similar to yet different from those of other megacities in a discussion beginning on page 32.)

The urban and demographic growth of the Basin of Mexico (the geographic area encompassing Mexico City) represents one of the main worries for environmentalists. The possible consequences of such an immense population concentration and its asymmetric relationship to the rest of the nation accounts for part of this concern. The ecological consequences of approximately 16 million people occupying the same space are another factor. The forested areas that once dominated the Basin of Mexico have been reduced to small fragments. Other natural resource use are ominous. In the eyes of many, the enormity of such growth foreshadows a great ecological catastrophe that will lead to the compulsory decentralization of the megalopolis. Others see the urban concentration as the logical result of industrial development and twentieth-century technological progress and do not view the megalopolis as a problem in itself. In their minds, technological development will provide the solutions to the environmental and health problems created by such unrestrained urban growth. Both sides of the debate are examined in this article. Clearly, an environmental crisis situation in Mexico City will almost certainly be generated by the exhaustion of the water supply, the degradation of the air, the sitting up of the drainage system, and citywide flooding resulting from deforestation.

The Environmental Setting

Mexico City is located in an originally closed hydrologic basin, which was artificially opened in the early 1600s. This large natural unit, known as the Basin of Mexico, includes the Federal District and parts of the states of Mexico, Hidalgo, Tlaxcala, and Puebla. It covers an area of 7,500 square kilometers (km sup 2 ), and lies within the Central Volcanic Axis, an upland formation of late Tertiary origin. The lowest part, a lacustrine plain, has an average elevation of 2,240 meters above sea level. A succession of elevated volcanic ranges surround the basin on three sides (east, west, and south). To the north, the basin is bounded by a series of low discontinuous ranges. The highest peaks, Popocatepetl and Ixtaccihuatl, with altitudes of 5,465 and 5,230 meters respectively, lie to the southeast.

Before the rise of the Aztec state, the lacustrine system at the bottom of the basin covered approximately 1,500 km sup 2 . It was drained by a north-south chain. Before human transformations, nine major environmental zones existed in the basin: the lake system, an important resting habitat for migratory waterfowl; the saline lakeshores, characterized by halophyllous plants; the deep-soil alluvium, covered by sedges and swamp cypressess; the thin-soil alluvium, dominated by grasses and agaves; the upland alluvium, occupied by oaks and acacias; the lower piedmont, cloaked by low oak forests; the middle piedmont, covered by broadleaf oaks; the upper piedmont, occupying elevations above 2,500 meters and characterized by oaks, alders, and madrones; and the sierras, occupying sites above 2,700 meters and harboring temperate plant communities of pines, fir, and junipers. (2) Little of these original ecosystems now remains. The city has gradually overtaken most of the former lakebeds, which have been progressively drained since colonial times, as well as part of the surrounding piedmonts. To the south and west of the city, the urban area now occupies the slopes of surrounding mountains that were once covered by conifer forests.

Population and Land Use

The population of Mexico City has long been the subject of debate (see the box on the next page). The last official census recorded the city's population as 15 million in 1990. This statistic seems unrealistic, however, when the growth of the urbanized area during the 1980s and the historic trends in population growth rates are taken into account. The present size of the urbanized area, as estimated by remote sensing techniques, multiplied by the historic population
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density (14,500 persons per km sup 2) suggests a total population of about 16.8 million in 1990 and 18.5 million in 1995. A projection of 1980 population values at a conservatively low growth rate of 1.5 percent (the growth rate between 1940 and 1980 has always been well above 2 percent) gives a total population of 16 million for 1990 and 17.7 million for 1995. This figure accords with the findings of one demographer who concluded that the national census underestimated the total population of the country by 2% to 6 million people.(3) The current total use of water in the city (63 cubic meters per second (m sup 3/ s)) combined with the historic per capita use (300 liters per day) also suggests a total population of approximately 18.1 million for 1995. Thus, we may assume that the population of greater Mexico City in 1995 was some 18 million people.

Between 1950 and 1980, Mexico City's average annual growth rate was 4.8 percent. The population, however, has grown more quickly in the industrial zone of the state of Mexico, north of the Federal District. There, the average rate of increase between 1950 and 1980 was 13.6 percent, compared with 3.3 percent in the Federal District. The continuous arrival of migrants from economically depressed rural areas accounts for much of the high growth rate.(4) Between 1950 and 1980, 5.43 million immigrants arrived in Mexico City. This influx was responsible for 38 percent of the city's growth.(5) However, between 1970 and 1980 alone, 3.25 million immigrants made their way to Mexico City.(6) Eliminating the effects of immigration, the intrinsic annual growth rate of the city for that decade can be calculated as approximately 1.8 percent, considerably lower than the national average for the same period, which was around 3.0 percent. Immigration, not population growth, has maintained Mexico City's high rate of population increase.(7) Assuming that a population of 18 million in 1995 is correct, the growth rate for the 1980s was around 1.8 percent, markedly lower than the rates for the previous decades. Although the population is still growing, it is clearly experiencing a demographic transition and the growth rates seem to be slowing down (see Figure 1 on this page). (Figures omitted)

Historically, Mexico City's net population densities have been comparatively high (see Table 2 on page 11). While slightly denser than Tokyo or Caracas, Mexico City presently duplicates the densities of New York, Sao Paulo, and Buenos Aires. It has three times the density of Paris and four times that of London. Only some Asian cities like Bombay, Calcutta, and Hong Kong have higher population densities.(8)

From 1953 to 1980, the average growth rate of Mexico City's urban area was 5.2 percent. In 1940, urban settlements covered 90 km sup 2 (0.9 percent of the basin). In 1950, they occupied 240 km sup 2 in the 1960s 384 km sup 2, in 1980 839 km sup 2, and in 1990 1,613 km sup 2. At present, the metropolis covers more than 12 percent of the basin, making up 16 delegaciones or boroughs in the Federal District and 26 municipalities in the state of Mexico.

The city's growth has had four stages in this century (see Figure 2 on page 12). During the first stage, from 1900 to 1930, the expansion of the city was relatively slow, increasing in both population and commercial activity. During the second stage, between 1930 and 1950, peripheral expansion took place and the city swelling to encompass the delegaciones of the Federal District that surrounded the central area. (Sometime between 1930 and 1940, the first municipality of the state of Mexico became part of the conurbation.) Accelerated growth took place during the third stage, 1950 to 1980, as the city expanded northwards into several municipalities in the state of Mexico and the population soared with access to cheap land and new services. At the beginning of the fourth stage in 1980, Mexico City was formed by the aggregation of 16 delegaciones in the Federal District and 17 municipalities in the state of Mexico. This ongoing phase involves the merging of several metropolitan areas in the Mexican Highlands: Mexico City, now composed of 16 delegaciones and 26 municipalities; Toluca in the state of Mexico, formed by six municipalities, including the cities of Puebla and Tlaxcala and composed of 8 municipalities; and the urban complexes of Cuernavaca-Temixco-Juiztepec and Cuautla-Yauttepec, formed by the aggregation of small metropolitan area in the state of Morelos, south of Mexico City. (10) Mexico City developed into a megalopolis in the mid-1980s by associating regionally with Toluca and Cuernavaca. An example of this larger conurbation is Huitziluacan, between Mexico City and Toluca. Through this municipality, both cities are now joined to form an overlapping urban conglomerate.(11)

As Mexico City expanded, it did not replicate the old patterns of urbanization. The new developments are more dense, less planned, and generally include less open space. Many developments are now built on hillsides, generating considerable amount of soil erosion and a significant increase in runoff and flash floods after rainstorms.(12) In 1950, the urban area included a large proportion of agro-pastoral fields, together with numerous empty lots, parks, and public spaces. The relative frequency of these open spaces within the city has decreased considerably with the new industrial style of urbanization. Mexico City's open spaces are rapidly disappearing, but at different rates. Agro-pastoral fields, once vital as dairy farms and domestic maize fields, have been disappearing at an average annual rate of 7.4 percent and are now practically nonexistent within the city. Most of these areas are now occupied by industrial buildings and housing developments. Parks, private gardens, and public spaces have been somewhat better conserved, vanishing at an average rate of 1.5 percent. New roads have accounted for most of the loss. Overall, the number of "green" areas has decreased at an annual rate of 3.7 percent.

The total rate of change of green areas varies considerably from one sector of the city to another.(13) The area experiencing the most rapid change lies to the east of the city, where the larger working-class settlements lie. There, between 1950 and 1980, nearly 6 percent of the open space disappeared each year. Open spaces are disappearing most slowly in the old center of the city. Rates of green area disappearance are affected by the social position of the inhabitants and when the areas were established. In the poorer and more recently established areas, vacant land quickly becomes lots for new houses, leaving less green area per person than in wealthier neighborhoods. The distribution of green areas, like the distribution of wealth, is currently very uneven. Although some quarters have more than 10 square meters per person, others have much less. Azcapotzalco, an industrial quarter with a population of some 700,000, has at present 0.9 m sup 2 of green area per inhabitant.(14)

Water supply

Water management has been a fundamental factor in the establishment and evolution of the different cultures of the basin. In pre-Hispanic times, the Aztecs used water from artesian wells located within the lacustrine zone and were completely self-sufficient in their use of water resources. (15) Groundwater extraction began in 1847 and provided considerable amount of water to supply Mexico City until 1960. Since that time, additional water has been pumped from two external watersheds, the Lerma and the Cutzamala River basins. Currently, Mexico City depends on these external sources for one-third of its water supply. As is the case with other natural resources, during this century the basin has gone from a high level of self-sufficiency in water resources to a strong dependence on imports from other parts of Mexico. The best soils are now occupied by houses and much of the surface water is either contaminated or at risk of becoming so. This is obvious in the satellite town of Xochimilco, south of the city, where the practice of chinampa agriculture (irrigated raised fields) is quickly disappearing because of the descending water table and the contamination of the canal waters.

Current water use in Mexico City is 63 m sup 3/ s.(17) Of this volume, 1.5 m sup 3/ s come from the few surviving surface systems within the basin while 42 m sup 3/ s are extracted from aquifers. The remainder comes from the Lerma
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and the Cutzamala basins (5.0 m sup 3 /s of groundwater from the former and 13.5 m sup 3 /s of surface water from the latter). (18) Thus, of the total amount of water used in Mexico City, 69 percent is obtained from within the basin and 31 percent from external watersheds (see Figure 3 on page 14). This has a considerable impact on the Lerma and the Cutzamala basins, where water is also very scarce. The Lerma Basin feeds Chapala Lake in Jalisco, the largest freshwater body in the nation. Chapala's water levels have been dropping for the last 20 years (the accumulated decrease is approximately 5 meters), and this phenomenon is at least partly attributable to the pumping of water in the Basin of Mexico.

The mean annual input of rainwater into the basin is 744.2 million m sup 3 (23.6 m sup 3 /s). Approximately 50 percent of this infiltrates the subsoil and recharges the aquifers. Some water also makes its way into the aquifers from leaks in the distribution system. (19) Leakage may be as much as 25 percent of the distributional flow in the city, on the order of 16 m sup 3 /s. Thus, the total recharge of the basin's aquifers is some 28 m sup 3 /s or less. Total extraction from the basin's aquifers is 55.5 m sup 3 /s. Of this, 27 m sup 3 /s (49 percent) is used by the city; the rest is used for agriculture within the basin. (20) Thus, while recharge replaces roughly 50 percent of the extraction volume, there is a deficit of more than 800 million m sup 3 per year. The average daily supply of water in Mexico City is around 300 liters per person, more than in man European cities. Many parts of the city suffer from chronic water shortages, however. Industry's use of water is very inefficient, and only 7 percent of wastewater is recycled. At least 25 percent of the water supply is lost through deficient pipe systems.

Early in this century, Mexico City started sinking because of ground water overexploitation. In 1954, a ban on new wells in the city area was issued and some existing wells were relocated to the north and south of the basin. Since then, the rate of sinking has stabilized at about 6 centimeters per year (1.8 in) in the central area. Nevertheless, along the borders of the urban area, sinking velocities now reach 15 to 40 cm/year. Some areas of downtown Mexico City have sunk nine meters since the beginning of the century. (21)

A number of vulnerable hydrogeological areas have been pinpointed as potential routes for the transport of groundwater contaminants. (22) The transition zones between the hillsides and the clay bottom of the basin are highly permeable, and contaminants released on the surface there could easily migrate downwards toward the aquifers. The lacustrine clays or the fractured basalt, which may be more permeable than has been assumed, are two other potential risk areas for groundwater contamination. In the past, these two areas were considered an effective barrier to the downward migration of pollutants from sources such as contaminated lakes and lixiviates from sanitary landfills. The integrity of the clays, however, may have been compromised by excessive pumping of the aquifer coupled with the natural fracturing of the now drier clays, putting the quality of the groundwater at risk. (23)

Bacteriological, physical, and chemical monitoring of the water in Mexico City has shown deterioration in quality that can be attributed to overexploitation of groundwater and extraction of water from geologic formations with high concentrations of certain ions (e.g., iron or manganese). High bacterial counts have been observed in some wells, but these have been attributed to the lack of seals to protect against infiltration of surface runoff along the casing. (24) Water may also become contaminated during distribution by variation in the pressure of the supply lines, which can cause leakage from, or infiltration into, pipelines. Government offices constantly monitor water quality, but the information is not published and is not readily available to the public. (25) Little attention is given to organic compounds in groundwater even though industrial solvents and aromatic hydrocarbons from petroleum products are widely used in industrial areas. In Mexico City, home to almost 50 percent of the country's industries, these types of compounds are generated and disposed of within the city area. No examination of the risks that these new types of contaminants may pose has been undertaken.

Wastewater Treatment

The contemporary wastewater system of Mexico City includes several unlined sewer canals, sewers, reservoirs, lagoons, pumping stations, and a deep drainage system. (26) About 75 percent of the population has access to this system; the rest dispose of their sewage through septic tanks and absorption wells. (27) The domestic wastewater that is collected from the sewer system is combined with industrial wastewater and, during the rainy season, with stormwater runoff. Approximately 90 percent of liquid industrial wastes, which add up to approximately 1.5 million tons annually, are discharged untreated into the city's sewer system. Since the sewerage system conveys considerable amounts of domestic and industrial waste, the possibility exists that these contaminants may be found inosurfaces. Runoff from industrial and residential areas can release significant amounts of contaminants into the subsurface, and the potential for downward migration is high. Field investigations conducted to assess specific organic contaminant migration beneath some of the canals demonstrated that some organic compounds are indeed migrating downwards toward the aquifer. (28)

A total of 27 wastewater treatment plants treat a portion of the wastewater generated in Mexico City. These plants currently operate at less than 50 percent efficiency and treat approximately 4.3 m sup 3 /s, or about 7 percent of the city's total wastewater. (29) This wastewater flows northward into several reservoirs, whence some is used for irrigation in an area of about 58,000 hectares in the state of Hidalgo. (30) Wastewater is also used to generate electricity at the Zimapán Dam in the Tula Basin, which has an installed capacity of 280 megawatts (MW). (31) Ultimately, the wastewater makes its way to the Gulf of Mexico through the Tula-Moctezuma-Panuco river systems.

Air quality

The high levels of atmospheric pollution that have existed in Mexico City for more than 20 years are another serious problem associated with the city's uncontrolled growth, and Mexico City's problem highlights some of those of other megacities. (32) The situation becomes particularly critical during the cold season (December to February) when low temperatures stabilize the atmosphere above the basin and air pollutants accumulate in the stationary mass of cold air. (33) Studies of lead and bromine in the air have shown quantitatively that most of the air contamination comes from automobile exhaust. (34) During the 1980s, the number of cars in the city increased at an annual rate above 5 percent. (In 1979, there were some two million cars; by 1994, the number had more than doubled.) Suspended particles were the worst pollutant in the early 1980s, and in some parts of the city their concentration exceeded the Mexican and international air-quality standards more than half of the time. (35) Between 1991 and 1994, ozone was the most significant air contaminant, and its concentration exceeded the air-quality standards more than 90 percent of the time (345 days above the admissible threshold of 220 micrograms per cubic meter (mu g/m sup 3 ) were reported for 1995). The problem became so alarming that in the early 1990s the newly created National Commission on Human Rights asked a working group to compile a detailed report on the subject. That report remains one of the most comprehensive analyses of the problem available. (36)

Until 1986, lead was probably the most harmful pollutant in the atmosphere. (37) Previously, only leaded gasoline was sold in Mexico City, and the concentration of lead in the air increased steadily with the number of cars, reaching values of 5 mu g/m sup 3 in 1968 and around 8 mug/m sup 3 in 1986 (5 times the Mexican standard of 1.5 mug/m sup 3 ). (38) Among its many deleterious effects, high concentrations of lead in the blood retard the intellectual development of children and, in general, alter human neural development. (39) The lead problem became so severe in September 1986
that the national oil company PEMEX substituted low lead-content fuel (in which synthetic oxidizing additives partly replaced leaded compounds) for the gasoline it was selling. Independent reports show that as a result of this effort lead levels did decrease dramatically. It is estimated that atmospheric emissions of lead decreased from approximately 2,000 tons/year in 1986 to around 150 tons/year in 1994. As a result, a sustained decrease in the proportion of schoolchildren with high levels of lead in their blood has been observed.

Unfortunately, however, the new gasoline had unexpected and harmful side effects. While the atmospheric concentration of lead did indeed fall, photochemical smog increased (see Figure 4 on this page). Because of a reaction between ultraviolet radiation from the sun, atmospheric oxygen, and combustion residues from the unled or low-lead gasoline, ozone concentrations in the city quickly rose.(40) At present, the mean ozone concentration is around 0.15 parts per million (ppm), 10 times the natural atmospheric concentration and almost twice the maximum permitted in the United States and Japan.(41) This level is high enough to damage most urban vegetation.(42)

Ozone is formed through a complex chain of reactions involving solar radiation, reactive organic compounds (e.g., partially combusted hydrocarbons), and inorganic compounds like nitrogen oxide.(43) The chain reaction occurs gradually, however, not instantaneously. Consequently, the highest ozone concentrations occur around noon on sunny days. Because the ozone layer is thickest for the northern hemisphere during the southern hemisphere's winter, ozone concentrations are highest in the basin of the city. The more industrialized areas to the north and the central areas of dense vehicular traffic are not so dramatically affected. During 1994, ozone levels in the southwestern section exceeded the maximum allowable standard (0.11 ppm or 220 mu g/m sup 3 ) on 345 days and generated continuous health complaints from the population.(44) On 95 days, ozone concentrations were above 0.24 ppm (480 mu g/m sup 3 ), a level that is universally considered hazardous for forest plants. In the early 1990s, catalytic converters became mandatory on new cars sold in Mexico. It was hoped that the converters would lower emissions of the reactive organic compounds that are by-products of the combustion of unleaded gasoline. Ozone concentrations, however, have persistently remained high. Slow renovation of existing vehicles and poor maintenance of the converters themselves may be two factors contributing to the slow response to the new automobile standards. A 5 percent annual growth in the number of vehicles has also played a negative role.

A study conducted by several pathologists evaluated histopathologic changes in the nasal mucosa of the inhabitants of the southwestern section of the city.(45) In a carefully designed experiment, they compared the nasal mucosa from three groups of health workers and navy employees. Group 1 was made up of long-term residents of the port of Veracruz (a low-ozone environment), Group 2 of people originally from nonpolluted locations who had lived in the city for less than 31 days, and Group 3 of people who had lived in southwestern Mexico City for more than two months. (The mean residence time of this group was approximately 10 years.) Ninety-eight percent of all patients from Group 3 exhibited basal cell hyperplasia (excess proliferation of cells in an organ or tissue) compared with only 5 percent of Group 1. Furthermore, the hyperplasias of Group 1 patients only formed small patches occupying less than 25 percent of the biopsy surface. In Group 3 patients, by contrast, they covered more than 50 percent of the sampled tissue in nearly half of the patients evaluated. While none of those in Group 1 showed additional effects, Group 3 patients showed varying degrees of squamous cell metaplasia (55 percent of the patients), keratinization (23 percent), epithelial dysplasias (81 percent), vascular submucosal proliferation (100 percent), and submucosal chronic inflammation (98 percent). All the patients in Group 2 showed intermediate degrees of incidence with respect to the other groups. Although the authors suggest that high ozone levels could be the main cause of these histopathologic changes, they do not discard the hypothesis "that other potential environmental carcinogens are most likely to be involved" in the extremely high incidences of respiratory tract tissue anomalies found in Mexico City inhabitants.

Other pollutants also impact considerably on Mexico City's atmosphere, but their spatial distribution is quite different from that of ozone. Sulfur dioxide and particulate matter are found in their greatest concentrations in the industrial area to the north and northeast of the city.(46) In the central part of the city where vehicular traffic is more intense, carbon monoxide concentrations are much higher. A recent study demonstrated that the concentration of carbon monoxide in central Mexico City ranges between 34 and 132 mu g/m sup 3 , well above official standards and sufficiently high to affect humans physiologically in less than one hour.(47)

Atmospheric contamination also influences the quality of rainwater. From 1983 to 1986, the acidity of rainwater in Mexico City increased significantly because of increasing concentrations of sulfur and nitrogen oxides in the air.(48) In the urban parts of the basin, the average pH of rainwater is around 5.5. In a few cases, however, values as low as 3.0 have been recorded. The effects of air pollution are not restricted to the urban areas, however. Air pollution has had a considerable impact on the natural ecosystems surrounding Mexico City. Phytopathologists have discovered, for example, that ozone produced in the city and carried by wind to the Sierra del Ajusco southwest of the basin, has significantly reduced the chlorophyll content and the growth of the dominant pine species in the high mountains around the basin.(49) These forests cover over 10 percent of the area. At present, there is a striking level of forest dieback in the mountains surrounding the basin. Scolytid bark-beetles that attack the confiers are the immediate cause, but many foresters associate the new and increased aggressiveness of this pest (which in the past never proved capable of producing widespread tree mortality) on the stressful environmental conditions generated by high ozone levels, coupled with the effects of acid rain. Clearly, atmospheric pollution may have had a considerable impact on the already disrupted water balance, especially on the hillside area of the basin, and thus on the long-term availability and quality of potable water.

Centralism and Ecological Subsidies

The rapid rise and enormous power of the Aztec state were based on their political control of much of Mesoamerica and on the subordination of hundreds of different groups that paid tribute to the emperor. Aztec wealth depended to a great extent on the concentration of high-quality goods (e.g., metals, obsidian, tropical fruits, high protein food) and labor collected as tribute from such groups. The Basin of Mexico, where Aztec culture first emerged, became a subsidized ecosystem, receiving inputs of natural resources and energy from other areas.

This tradition, maintained under Spanish rule, has now reached immense proportions. Few ecosystems in the world are so far from being self-sufficient as the Basin of Mexico.(50) With much of the forests cut, most of the chinampa lands turned into urban developments, and practically all of the lakes dried up, the supply of raw materials and energy generated within the basin is insufficient for even a small fraction of its 18 million residents. Consequently, vast amounts of food, energy, wood, water, building materials, and many other products are imported from other ecosystems to augment the energy and material flows. With 20 percent of the nation's population, the basin consumes approximately one-third of the country's oil and electricity.

In spite of the severe environmental problems, the Mexican model of development has given priority to improving the quality of life in the large cities (where social demand is more concentrated) rather than in the rural areas (which have become comparatively neglected). From 1970 to 1980, the basin experienced marked improvement in demographic and domestic indicators of the quality of life. However, at the national level, these same indicators have reflected slower change. This difference in trends is more marked if the developments in the basin are compared to those in the
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The Secretariat of Urban Development and Ecology was created to deal with matters linked to urban environmental problems. This fact suggests that there will be increasing demands on natural resources, air, and water as well as growing pressures on the urban environment. Degrading water quality will be a major health concern in the next decades. In spite of efforts to reduce exhaust emissions, industrial wastewater, and the increasing amounts of both wastewater and contamination do not presage any short-term improvement. It is likely that these conflicts could become more severe. Water quality is already below drinking standards in some areas, and even in years with normal rainfall, the demand will outstrip the basin's natural resources. If the current recharge, is projected into the future, the basin will experience large-scale water shortages sometime in the next 30 years. These shortages would be worsened by future population increases and continued urban growth. Water-use tensions are already high. The coldest months of winter, the dry months, are the most critical. Water is always a precious resource and its distribution is a political and economic issue. The ultimate costs of the effects of air pollution on the basin's forests have not been calculated, but in all likelihood they will be high. Trees play vital roles in controlling erosion, conserving biological diversity, regulating the water cycle, and recharging the aquifers. Because of the groundwater imbalance in the basin, no one has calculated in detail either the future costs of overexploiting the aquifers or the future value of the recharging that is being prevented by deforestation. This deficit calls into question the mid-term sustainability of the basin and acts to limit future development.

Even though wastewater treatment and reuse have not been main components of Mexico City's water management, there have been governmental efforts to improve wastewater discharges since 1956, when the first wastewater treatment plant was installed. The two most common treatment systems are stabilization ponds and activated sludge. In 1994, installing a wastewater treatment plant based on the activated sludge system, with a capacity of 1 m sup 3/s, cost around $20 million to $30 million. In 1994, the cost of treating water, including the cost of operation and recovery of the investment, was about 20 cents per cubic meter. If the 27 wastewater treatment plants in Mexico City operated at 100 percent efficiency instead of the current 50 percent, they could handle approximately 8.6 m sup 3/s of wastewater at an annual cost of $55 million. Until recently, the government subsidized wastewater treatment. New legislation is now being implemented that transfers rights and obligations to the users of national water resources and makes the private sector responsible for discharging wastewater of acceptable quality.

Sustainability Problems and Governmental Response

Judging from the state of both air and water resources in the Basin of Mexico, and from the immense economic and social costs that the whole country has to provide to maintain this area, one can conclude that the megalopolis of Mexico City is highly unsustainable in its present condition. If groundwater use, which is double the current recharge, is projected into the future, the basin will experience large-scale water shortages sometime in the next 30 years. These shortages would be worsened by future population increases and continued urban growth. Water-use conflicts with neighboring watersheds are already important issues. If more water is drawn from external sources, it is likely that these conflicts could become more severe. Water quality is already below drinking standards in some areas, and the increasing amounts of both wastewater and contamination do not presage any short-term improvement. Degrading water quality will be a major health concern in the next decades. In spite of efforts to reduce exhaust emissions, the rapid growth in the number of cars (almost 100 percent per decade) also calls into question the capacity to improve the basin's atmospheric quality. Furthermore, some 48 percent of all Mexican industries are located in the basin. This fact suggests that there will be increasing demands on natural resources, air, and water as well as growing amounts of liquid and solid waste for which there are no adequate treatment and disposal systems.

Mexico City's problems are so important that they have gotten increasing attention from policymakers. In 1972, a Subsecretariat of Environmental Protection was created within the federal government's Secretariat of Health. In 1982, the Secretariat of Urban Development and Ecology was created to deal with matters linked to urban environmental quality. This new secretariat was also assigned some responsibilities for the protection of natural resources. In 1992, a
suffer system in Guadalajara exploded because of spillage of fuel waste products into the pipelines. As a result, hundreds of people died. In the aftermath, the federal environmental administration was divided into two new offices: a National Institute of Ecology, authorized to draft environmental regulations, administer environmental protection efforts, and coordinate natural resource management; and an Environmental Attorney General, created to oversee enforcement of environmental legislation.

As a result of citizen protests about the basin's deteriorating air quality, the Department of the Federal District, which administers a large part of Mexico City, created a Metropolitan Commission for the Protection of Air Quality in 1992. The government of the state of Mexico and federal authorities participated in this move. Water management in the basin is coordinated by a complex set of state and federal government organizations, including the Department of the Federal District and the Commission for Water and Sanitation of the state of Mexico (at the state level) and the National Water Commission and the Secretariat of Health (at the federal level).

In December 1994, a new secretariat was created to encompass all federal environmental functions, including those dealing with "brown ecology" (environmental pollution) and "green ecology" (natural resource management). Functions that were previously dispersed among various federal agencies were centralized under the Secretariat of Environment, Natural Resources and Fisheries. Their mandate covered the protection and management of natural resources; waste management and pollution control; the management of national parks and other protected natural areas; and environmental law enforcement. Decentralized governmental agencies like the National Institute of Ecology, the Environmental Attorney General, and the National Water Commission all came under the jurisdiction of this powerful federal secretariat. The ever increasing complexity and size of environmental authorities highlights the growing concerns about environmental quality and natural resource degradation in Mexico in general and in the basin in particular.

Insights for the Future

Although most of the environmental problems in the Basin of Mexico have reached critical proportions in the late 20th century, urban development is not solely to blame. Urban and political centralism have been a tradition in Mexican society since the Aztec empire. The Basin of Mexico, for nearly two millennia one of the most densely populated areas of the world, has historically used its preeminent administrative and political position to obtain advantages over other areas of the nation. Modern industrialization, however, has exaggerated this trend to dramatic proportions, and is indeed responsible for the disproportionate urbanization and the biased distribution of population and wealth. Although population growth in the basin is clearly decelerating, natural resource use is already highly unsustainable with the current population density. Fossil fuel consumption, the number of cars, deforestation, and the pumping of groundwater from a critically depleted aquifer are all increasing at a rate that often exceeds that of population growth.

In the past, resource exhaustion through improper land use has produced large declines in population, showing that there are limits to population growth in a closed basin with a given technological level. Air pollution, water shortages, the urban area's unbridled growth, and the ever-increasing economic and natural resource costs of maintaining the megalopolis suggest that a similar process of population limitation or even decline may occur in the future. In Mexico City, the use of air, water, and soils as a commons is clearly unsustainable, and the city's residents may soon confront hard and painful decisions. In our opinion, it is clear that in the future the subsidies will have to be eliminated and that the cost of living and the quality of life in the city will worsen. Government authorities have made several attempts over the past six years to set the real rates, however, the capacity to subsidize water use is becoming more and more constrained and will soon reach a limit. Health problems typical of developed societies (like heart disease and malignant tumors) coexist with problems related to air and water pollution (such as pneumonia and enteritis) that are more typical of the developing world. Although there is no data on this problem, Mexico City's decreasing growth rates suggest that for some sectors of the population emigrating outside the basin into medium-sized cities is already an advantageous alternative.

Growing conflicts over water use, air pollution, waste disposal, environmentally related health problems, and natural resource depletion are all problems shared by most Third World megalopolises. Mexico City is thus a laboratory where many of the processes that drive population, natural resource, and land-use changes in the less-developed nations are being tested. It provides both fascinating and terrible insights into what the future may hold for many of the megalopolises of Latin America and the Third World.
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Abstract (Document Summary)

Natural resource exhaustion, spiraling population growth and a proliferation of environmentally related health disorders call into question the future of the world's urban centers. The viability of megacities is examined, using Mexico City as a case study.
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